From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Cc: Calum Miller MP; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE
Date: 12 September 2026 14:04:35

[redacted]

Application reference: PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843

Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE

Dear Secretary of State,

As a local resident I wish to oppose the planning application for the proposal to accommodate up to 1,250 single adult male asylum seekers at Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE.

I ask that planning permission be refused.

In addition to the multitude of logistical, ecological, technical, health & safety, accessibility and infrastructure issues that are well-documented by Calum Miller and the "Wrong Plan. Wrong Site." team, there is I believe a more fundamental issue, which is the safety and security of the local residents. Whilst the UK government has a legal duty to provide basic accommodation and support to asylum seekers who would otherwise be destitute while their claims are decided, its primary responsibility is to protect UK citizens.

To put 1,250 young men of any background, in an open site with nothing to do and very little money will cause problems. Add in that we know nothing about these young men except that most of them have entered the country illegally, and you are creating a recipe for disaster that will seriously affect local residents. Why offer complete freedom of movement to them while you assess their claims, in a site that is shown to be totally unsuitable, and all at the tax-payers expense? Please re-consider your priorities, or have you forgotten that you are the UK government with a primary responsibility to us, the UK citizens?

The NAO has stated that the cost of this proposal does not provide good value for money to the British Taxpayer, so why would you choose to ignore this advice, do you not care about getting value for our money?

This site has also previously been considered for asylum accommodation and that was rejected. Nothing has changed since then, except that you have increased the numbers of people to be housed, if it was wrong for 750 people 20 years ago, how can it be right for 1,250 now?

Before you waste another £30 million (the estimated cost of the aborted plan in 2005), see sense and stop your application. The consequences of continuing will be felt by us, the local residents, not you; a poor decision will only cost you your job.

Yours faithfully,

[redacted]